



✓ IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appln. No. : 10/003,472 Confirmation No.: 4346
Applicant : Steven A. Sundberg, et al.
Filed : 11/15/2001
TC/A.U. : 1641
Examiner : Leon Y. Lum

Docket No. : 100/11710
Customer No. : 021569
Title : Binding Assays Using Molecular Melt Curves

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

On January 14, 2005, Examiner Lum attempted a telephonic interview with Paul Littlepage of the Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C. regarding the above-identified patent application. No discussion was held. A voicemail message was left for Mr. Littlepage concerning the expiration of the six-month time limit for responding to the official action mailed July 12, 2004.

This is to confirm that it was the intent of the Applicant, Caliper Life Sciences, Inc., to allow the above-identified application to go abandoned and to file a continuation application, which application was filed on January 11, 2005 and assigned application number 11/032,749.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee in this matter to Deposit Account No. 03-0177, referencing Docket No. 100/11710.

Respectfully submitted,





Donald R. McKenna
Reg. No. 44,922

CALIPER LIFE SCIENCES, INC.
605 Fairchild Drive
Mountain View, CA 94043
Tel: 650-623-0737
Fax: 650-623-0504

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION OR MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: M/S: Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 8, 2005, by Michael Moores.

Signed:  _____



Interview Summary

Application No.

10/003,472

Applicant(s)

SUNDBERG ET AL.

Examiner

Leon Y Lum

Art Unit

1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leon Y Lum.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Littlepage.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A voicemail message was left for Applicant indicating that the six month deadline for a reply to the Office Action mailed 12 July 2004 has passed and a request to reach the Examiner by telephone. However, Applicant has not responded.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required